



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

KL  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/325,536	06/04/1999	KEVIN BOYLE	47004.000040	2934

21967 7590 06/21/2002

HUNTON & WILLIAMS  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
1900 K STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109

EXAMINER

THOMPSON JR, FOREST

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3625

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/325,536

Applicant(s)

BOYLE ET AL.

Examiner

Forest O. Thompson Jr.

Art Unit

3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Forest O. Thompson Jr.

(3) Dave Milligan (202-955-1687)

(2) Wynn Coggins

(4) Steve Schreiner

Date of Interview: 11 June 2002

(5) Jeff Smith

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: See Continuation Sheet

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed: all identified in last rejection

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


(next page)  
Examiner's signature, if required


Continuation of Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted, If Yes, brief description:

claim language vs identical prior art

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Milligan / Schreier presented explanation of their claim and  
how they distinguished over prior art. We identified some  
areas of claims that needed more definition. Mr. Milligan  
will revise claims and submit an amendment. Mr. Thompson  
will research credit card operations on auto-payments  
versus payments.

  
WYNN W. COGGINS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

  
Au 36 25  
703-306-5449